NATIONAL PROGRAMS (PERKINS VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACT) AND AMERICA'S CAREER RESOURCE NETWORK

Goal: Increase access to and improve education programs that strengthen educational achievement, workforce preparation, and lifelong learning.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
Legislation: Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act (P.L.	1985	\$7	2000*	\$27
105-332), Title I, Part A (Sections 114, 118) (20 U.S.C. 2324, 2328).	1990	\$7	2001*	\$27
	1995	\$7	2002 (Requested)	\$12

^{*}ACRN (Perkins Section 18) was first funded in FY 2000.

Program Description

The goal of this program is to conduct and disseminate research that contributes to improving the quality and access of vocational education for all students, particularly special populations. The program also conducts research on implementation of performance standards and measures and their use on the participation of students in vocational education programs, and on successful methods for providing students with experience in, and understanding of, all aspects of the occupations and industry in which the students are preparing to work. The Department conducts a variety of research activities to promote excellence in vocational education. Current activities include the following:

- The National Assessment of Vocational Education (NAVE) will address a wide range of topics, including: (1) implementation of state and local programs; (2) impact of changes in federal funding formulas; (3) teacher quality and teacher supply and demand; (4) student participation in vocational education, (5) academic and employment outcomes; (6) employer involvement and satisfaction with vocation education programs; (7) education technology and distance learning; and (8) the impact of accountability requirements on program performance.
- The National Centers for Career and Technical Education (NCCTE), one for research and one for dissemination, are operated by a five-university consortium that includes the University of Minnesota, Ohio State University, the University of Illinois, Oregon State University, and Pennsylvania State University. The centers focus on five themes: integration of academic and technical education; educational technology and distance learning; accountability; knowledge and skills needed by employers; and professional development.
- The Department is supporting the "New American High Schools" (NAHS) initiative to promote comprehensive high school reform strategies that will strengthen the teaching and learning process and improve student achievement.
- The Department is supporting the development of two teacher preparation and development and recruitment initiatives to prepare teachers to use contextual teaching and learning strategies to improve student achievement.
- The Department is working with state vocational education agencies to facilitate development of a unified system of core performance measures that is consistent with the systems states are developing for Title I and Adult Education.
- The Department is currently supporting initiatives in 16 career clusters to involve employers, labor organizations, parents, teachers, and students in ensuring the development of effective career pathways that will enhance a student's ability to meet academic and industry-based skill standards.
- The Small Business Innovative Research program stimulates technological innovation in the private sector and strengthens the role of small business in meeting federal research and development needs.

For more information, please visit the program Web site at: http://www.ed.gov/offices/OVAE/vocsite.html and http://www.ed.gov/offices/OVAE/nahs/

Program Performance

OBJECTIVE 1: INCREASE THE USE OF RESEARCH FINDINGS TO INFORM PROGRAM DIRECTION AND TO IMPROVE STATE AND LOCAL PRACTICE.

				02, increasing numbers ical Education.	s of customers will be using the products and	services of the National Centers for		
rescai	en and Dissen	Targets and Pe			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Custom	ers receiving ele			nation from the Centers	Status: Baseline established; progress toward	Source: National Centers Performance Report.		
Year		ctual Performan		Performance Targets	future targets likely.	Frequency: Annual.		
	Electronic	Print	Total			Next collection update: 2001.		
2000:	273,546	No Data	273,546	Baseline	Explanation: Actual performance for 2001	Date to be reported: 2001.		
2000:	273,340	Available	273,340	Daseille	includes only information disseminated through			
2001:		Available		300,000	the Center's web site since studies begun under	Validation Procedures: Data collection		
2001:				350,000	the current Center will not yield publishable	processes were developed before the Education		
2002.				330,000	results in print form until 2001. Progress has been substantial given the Department's	Department <u>Standards for Evaluating the Quality</u> of <u>Program Performance Data</u> were developed.		
					increased emphasis on disseminating high	of Program Performance Data were developed.		
					quality research products and related services	Limitations of Data and Planned		
					through the newly funded National Research	Improvements: The number of customers does		
					Centers. Compared to the previously-funded	not represent an unduplicated count of		
					center that provided only one-third of its funds to	individuals receiving information through the		
					dissemination, the new Centers are allocating	Centers.		
					equal funding for research and dissemination.			
	Indicator 1.2 Promote quality research: By 2002, the percentage of customers who are "very satisfied" with products and services received from the National Center for Research in Career and Technical Education will be at least 85 percent.							
Targets and Performance Data				ı	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Custom	ers responding to	o a customer satis	faction survey in	dicated that they were	Status: No 2000 data, but progress toward target	Source: Customer Satisfaction Survey.		
"very so	atisfied" with the	products and ser	vices received fr	om the Centers	is likely.	Frequency: Annually.		
Year	Actual	Performance	Per	formance Targets		Next collection update: 2000.		
2000:	00: No Data Available			Explanation: Performance targets are likely to	Date to be reported: 2001.			
2001:	1:		85%	be met, given the Centers' first year scope of				
2002:	002:		85%	work focusing on customer need-sensing to	Validation Procedure: Data collection			
			identify topical areas for research and	processes were developed before the Education				
			information on best practices in career and	Department standards for evaluating the quality				
			technical education. This effort, coupled with a commitment to developing practitioner-oriented	of program performance data were developed.				
			resources, should result in high satisfaction	Limitations of Data and Planned				
			among practitioners with the Centers' products	Improvements: None.				
					and services.	improvements, ivone.		

Indicator 2.1 Identify New American High Schools: By 2002, increasing numbers of high schools will receive Department recognition for implementing New
American High School strategies that combine career and academic preparation—often in smaller learning environments—to prepare all students for college
and careers.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Number of schools having received Department recognition for fully adopting New		Status: Positive movement toward target.	Source: New American High School application			
America	an High School strategies.			tracking documents.		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Explanation: Although progress was made, the	Frequency: Annually.		
1996:	10		2000 performance target was not met. This is	Next collection update: 2001.		
1998:	17		due to the fact that fewer schools than originally	Date to be reported: 2001.		
1999:	30	100	anticipated have undertaken the breadth and			
2000:	59	150	depth of educational reforms required for	Validation Procedure: Data collection		
2001:		75	classification as a New American High School.	processes were developed before the Education		
2002:		100	Performance targets for 2001 and 2002 were	Department standards for evaluating the quality		
			adjusted to align with new expectations.	of program performance data were developed.		
				Limitations of Data and Planned		
				Improvements: None.		

Indicator 2.2 Promote New American High School strategies: By 2002, increasing numbers of high schools will be working toward implementing New American High School strategies. Implementation is defined as a working with a high school network to implement one or more New American High School strategies.

| Assessment of Progress | Assessment of Progress | Sources and Data Quality | Sources and Dat

Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Number of schools working with three high school reform networks			Status: Negative trend away from target.	Source: Grantee Reports.
Year Actual Performance Performance Targets			Frequency: Annually.	
1999:	1,500	Baseline	Explanation: Actual performance for 2000 was	Next collection update: None scheduled.
2000:	1,077	2,000	calculated using a more rigorous definition for	Date to be reported: N/A.
2001:		N/A	"working with a reform network" than was used	
2002:		N/A	in 1999. In 1999, all schools working in any	Validation Procedures: Data collection
		capacity with the three reform networks funded	processes were developed before the Education	
		by the Department were counted. This year,	Department standards for evaluating the quality	
		only those schools working with the network to	of program performance data were developed.	
		implement one or more specific New American		
		High School strategies were counted. However,	Limitations of Data and Planned	
		based on a refocus of the New American High	Improvements: None.	
			School technical assistance strategy for 2001,	
			this indicator will be dropped. Therefore, no	
			new performance targets are set.	

Indicator 2.3 Promote professional development: By 2002, increasing numbers of prospective and current teachers will be trained to teach academic and							
technical coursework in the context of real-life applications and careers (hereafter referred to as contextual teaching and learning approaches).							
Targets and Performance Date			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
Number of prospective and current teachers trained to implement contextual		Status: Target exceeded.	Source: Contractor Progress Reports.				
teachin	g and learning approaches through sev	en funded university-based networks.		Frequency: Annually.			
Year Actual Performance Performance Targets		Explanation: Higher than anticipated progress	Next collection update: 2001.				
1999:	750	Baseline	in 2000 was due to the Department's emphasis	Date to be reported: 2001.			
2000:	4,513	1,800	on teacher preparation, use of university-based				
2001:		3,000	networks to train teachers in contextual teaching	Validation Procedures: Data collection			
2002.		and learning approaches, and a series of national	processes were developed before the Education				
		summits and conferences held to support those	Department standards for evaluating the quality				
		networks. Performance targets for 2001 are	of program performance data were developed.				
		based on continued high expectations for					
		performance of the networks, yet adjusted for the	Limitations of Data and Planned				
			nine-month period that remains before	Improvements: Numbers of teachers are a			
			termination of these grants on September 30,	duplicated count and, therefore, cannot provide a			
			2001.	cumulative number of teachers trained since			
				inception of the initiative.			

OBJECTIVE 3: INCREASE ACCESS TO AND IMPROVE CAREER AND ACADEMIC GUIDANCE AND COUNSELING SERVICES.

Indicator 3.1 Provide quality resources: By 2002, increasing numbers of customers will receive technical assistance by their states on the availability and use of America's Career Resource Network career development resources, and increasing numbers of career development products will be disseminated to customers through America's Career Resource Network.

Targets and Performance Data					Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Number of customers receiving technical assistance and number of products			products	Status: 2000 data not yet available, but	Source: America's Career Resource Network	
disseminated to customers (students, parents, teachers, counselors, administrators,			administrators,	progress toward target likely.	Annual Performance Report.	
and oth	and others) through America's Career Resource Network.					Frequency: Annually.
Year	Actual	Performance	Actual	Performance	Explanation: Performance targets are based on	Next collection update: 2000.
	Performance	Targets	Performance	Targets	data (not shown) from the previously-funded	Date to be reported: 2001.
	Customers Products		State Occupational Information Coordinating			
2000:	No Data		No Data	Baseline	Councils (the predecessors to America's Career	Validation Procedure: Data supplied by states
	Available		Available		Resource Network). As a result of the planning	on an OMB-approved report form. No other
2001:		20,000		800,000	and guidance provided by the Department to the	verification applied.
2002:		30.000		1,000,000	networks during their transition year, it is	
2002.		30,000		1,000,000	expected that they will achieve at least the same	Limitations of Data and Planned
					minimum level of performance as the councils.	Improvements: The number of products is a
						duplicated count; that is, it accounts for multiple
						copies of the same product being disseminated to
						one or more customers.

INDICATOR CHANGES

From Annual Plan (FY 2001)

Adjusted

❖ Indicator 1.1 (disseminate quality research) goal of 38,000 customers changed to "an increasing number"

<u>Dropped</u>—None.

New

- Objective 3 (increase access to and improve career and academic guidance centers)
- ❖ Indicator 3.1 (provide quality resources)